

In re application of : Confirmation No. 9548

Hiroyuki MISHIMA et al. : Docket No. 2003 1015A

Serial No. 10/626,574 : Group Art Unit 1712

Filed July 25, 2003 : Examiner Christopher Keehan

PREPREG AND LAMINATE Mail Stop: Amendment

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Official Action dated April 13, 2005, the period for response having been extended for one month by the attached petition.

Claims 1, 3, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown et al. (WO 98/31538).

Claims 4, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. in view of Ishii et al. (U.S. 5,368,921).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al.

Claims 5 to 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. in view of Kohm et al. (U.S. 5,264,065).

Claims 8 to 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. in view of Gaku et al. (U.S. 6,907,089).

These rejections are respectfully traversed.

The rejection states that Brown et al. (WO 98/31538) disclose a prepreg comprising a thermosetting resin, particularly a non-halogenated epoxy (page 3, lines 11 to 12), containing an aluminum hydroxide-boehmite composite obtained by hydrothermal treatment of aluminum